

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,183	03/27/2002	Takayuki Miyashita	009760-016	5114
21839	7590 08/13/2003		•	•
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		SADULA, JENNIFER R		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A. A. a. Comment	10/089,183	MIYASHITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer R. Sadula	1756				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh et with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 h	<u>March 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 March 2002 is/are: a		the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal i	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 27 March 2002 has been considered by the examiner. However, the examiner wishes to note that these references merely submitted with English translations of an abstract have only been considered on the merits of that which was in English and no more. Any reference without an English language translation has only been considered on the merits of that which was in English and no more.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The applicants claim a liquid crystal polymer composition comprising a liquid crystal polymer and a plate-shaped filler wherein it is assumed by the examiner that the plate shaped filler have specific dimensions (see rejection of claims based upon 35 USC 112(2) for clarification of this assumption). The specification, beginning on page 1, recites that the polymer has excellent low warp wherein the research conducted was to provide a polymer with low warp

Art Unit: 1756

without notably decreasing mechanical properties (page 2). The Applicants then begin to recite that the materials be of specified diameter however on page 6 the applicants state that the low warp characteristic is fulfilled via certain ratios of polymer to filler. The only reference to size of the particulate matter is in the paragraph beginning at the end of page 6 and completing on page 7. If this is what the applicants argue to be the patentable distinction of their invention, no mention has been made as to how they achieve such specified sizing and dimension; no mention is made as to how they found that such dimension adds to the invention. In fact, the only mention made as to how this polymer is better than previous polymers is in the ratio of materials and having nothing to do with the size of the materials utilized. Therefore, in light of the Applicants' own specific teaching it is apparent to the Examiner that such sizes are obvious design choices or even inherent to the materials taught.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation of a LCP composition comprising 100pbw of a LCP and 5-100 pwb of a plate shaped filler satisfying the formulae 1 and 2 and having an average particle diameter of 0.5 to 100 µm. It is unclear what formula 1, 2, and the average particle size are modifying. For example, is the particle size with regard to the LCP composition, the LCP or the

Art Unit: 1756

filler? Are formulae 1 and 2 satisfied by the LCP composition, the LCP or the filler? Even though the dimensions are specified for the filler- it is unclear if the filler is pre-composition, post-composition or both. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 16-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okamoto et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,085,807 ("Okamoto").

Okamoto teaches a flame retardant liquid crystal polyester composition comprising 100pbw of a liquid crystal polyester, 0.5 to 30 pbw of a polymeric flame retardant material having an average dispersed particle diameter not larger than 2.5µm, and up to 200 pbw (per 100 pbw of the combination of the polyester and flame retardant) of a filler (1:54-2:7). The polyester material may be a variety of different liquid crystalline materials and combinations of polyesters. The filler of Okamoto is taught to be a variety of different materials depending upon the intended use. The material can be fibrous, powdery, granular and plate shaped and includes such

Art Unit: 1756

materials as glass, carbon, polyamide, mica, talc, silica, calcium carbonate, wollastonite and titanium oxides (9:40-60). In accordance with Applicant's teaching it is inherent to the nature of the materials to be within the ranges as specified by the applicants.

With regard to applicants' claim 6 and all other claims regarding the formation of a connector, Okamoto teaches the composition for use in the production of molded articles requiring materials of superior flame retardancy, heat resistance appearance and mechanical properties. Furthermore, Okamoto teaches the formation be three-dimensional such as a rod, tube, sheet and the like (12:3-9). It is the examiner's position that a connector falls within this scope.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 10, 12, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,268,410 ("Yamada").

Yamada teaches a thermotropic liquid crystalline polyester resin material containing 5-60% of an inorganic filler such as carbonates of alkaline earth metals wherein the resin formed therefrom, as well as the articles produced from such a resin, exhibit excellent appearance, thermal resistance, and workability (abstract). The particle size of the inorganic filler materials may be in the range of 1-20µm (6:21-31). In accordance with Applicant's teaching it is inherent to the nature of the materials to be within the ranges as specified by the applicants.

It is further possible to add organic fillers besides the carbonates and still further taught that a proper quantity of reinforcing materials (such as glass fibers) be added (7:65-8:11). The formed articles prepared are suitable for use in machinery, automotive and office part making (9:10-18) thereby incorporating the manufacturing of connectors. With regard to claim 5 and all

Art Unit: 1756

other claims regarding the use of a polyester amide, Yamada teaches one of the precursors to the thermotropic polyester to be aromatic diamines (5:8-21).

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 16-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,399,608 ("Allen").

Allen teaches thermoplastic molding compositions with relatively high loadings of fillers such as zinc oxide, barium sulfate and zirconium compositions with an improved impact on the strength of the composition (abstract). The liquid crystals utilized are polyester derivatives wherein from about 20-85 pbw of the material comprises these fillers. The compositions of Allen can further contain reinforcing agents and flame retardant agents (3:1-44). With respect to the fillers a finely divided form is preferred which has an average particle diameter of 0.05-50 microns (13:49-14:23). These fillers are sized and added in accordance with the objective of the provision of smooth molded article surfaces. Thus, in accordance with Applicant's teaching it is inherent to the nature of the materials to be within the ranges as specified by the applicants.

Allen teaches that many variations of the invention are suggested, such as the addition of glass, clay, talc, wollastonite and the like as filler materials (17:6-22). Lastly, with regard to claim 6 and all other claims regarding the formation of a connector, Allen teaches the material for use in the making of highly dense molded articles requiring a smooth surface and having a ceramic-like feel. It is the examiner's position that a connector falls within this scope.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 16-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Umetsu et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,804,634 ("Umetsu").

Art Unit: 1756

Umetsu teaches a liquid crystalline resin compound including 100pbw of a liquid crystalline material and about 5-200pbw of an inorganic filler material wherein the inorganic filler has an average aspect ratio in the range of 3 to 25 (abstract). The resin compound contains a filler in the form of a fiber, powder, particle or plate wherein examples include mica, talc, silica, glass, and the like (6:47-60). Two or more fillers may be used in combination with one another, especially when glass fibers are involved (6:60-67). The platy filler maybe measured for average aspect ratio, average major axis and average thickness as specified in column 7. In the case of such a filler the particle diameter should be less than 100 µm. Thus in accordance with Applicant's teaching it is inherent to the nature of the materials to be within the ranges as specified by the applicants. Umetsu further teaches the materials to be for use in the production of molded articles wherein the molded articles require a material being superior in flowability

Claims 1-4 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sandor et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,851,668 ("Sandor").

and having good mechanical properties such as balanced rigidity and toughness (abstract).

Sandor teaches cut-resistant fibers containing hard fillers wherein the fiber is made of a resin comprising a liquid crystalline polyester and a filler in an amount of 0.05% to about 20% by weight (abstract). A particulate form of the filler may be used such as platelets or flat particles (5:25-30). The filler particles should be small enough to easily pass through the spinneret apertures and should be filtered in a way such that the particles larger than about 6 microns are excluded. In general, the particles should have an average diameter of less than about 20 microns (5:42-60). The filler is distributed uniformly throughout the resin. Particle

Application/Control Number: 10/089,183 Page 8

Art Unit: 1756

sizes are shown throughout the tables beginning with table 6. In accordance with Applicant's teaching it is inherent to the nature of the materials to be within the ranges as specified by the applicants.

Claims 1-4 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murakami et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,063,848 ("Murakami").

Murakami teaches liquid crystalline polymer compositions wherein a low coefficient of linear expansion is obtained without significantly deteriorating mechanical properties via the incorporation of particulate and fibrous fillers with the LCP in a specified ratio (abstract). Thus, Murakami teaches a LCP composition comprising 100pbw of an LCP, a fibrous filler of specified dimensions and a particulate filler having an average particle diameter of 100µm or less wherein the ratio of fiber filler to particulate filler is between 1:3 and 3:1 (1:43-60). The total amount of these fillers should be 100-240pbw (4:12-17). The particulate fillers have an average aspect ratio of 1 to 2 and may be of kaolin, clay, and the like whereas the fiber filler material may be glass (4:9-60). Once again in accordance with Applicant's teaching it is inherent to the nature of the materials to be within the ranges as specified by the applicants.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1756

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Okamoto in view of Yamada, or, alternatively, Yamada in view of Okamoto.

To begin with, Okamoto teaches a flame retardant liquid crystal polyester composition comprising 100pbw of a liquid crystal polyester, 0.5 to 30 pbw of a polymeric flame retardant material having an average dispersed particle diameter not larger than 2.5µm, and up to 200 pbw (per 100 pbw of the combination of the polyester and flame retardant) of a filler (1:54-2:7). The polyester material may be a variety of different liquid crystalline materials and combinations of polyesters. The filler of Okamoto is taught to be a variety of different materials depending upon the intended use. The material can be fibrous, powdery, granular and plate shaped and includes such materials as glass, carbon, polyamide, mica, talc, silica, calcium carbonate, wollastonite and titanium oxides (9:40-60). In accordance with Applicant's teaching it is inherent to the nature of the materials to be within the ranges as specified by the applicants. However, Okamoto is silent with regard to the liquid crystal polyester being a polyester amide.

As stated above, Yamada teaches a thermotropic liquid crystalline polyester resin material containing 5-60% of an inorganic filler such as carbonates of alkaline earth metals wherein the resin formed therefrom, as well as the articles produced from such a resin, exhibit excellent appearance, thermal resistance, and workability (abstract). The particle size of the inorganic filler materials may be in the range of 1-20µm (6:21-31). The formed articles prepared are suitable for use in machinery, automotive and office part making (9:10-18) thereby incorporating the manufacturing of connectors. With regard to claim 5 and all other claims regarding the use of a polyester amide, Yamada teaches one of the precursors to the thermotropic polyester to be aromatic diamines (5:8-21).

Art Unit: 1756

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the resin materials of Okamoto including the LCP aromatic diamines of Yamada as Okamoto teaches merely the use of polyester derivatives for use with the invention and the LCP's of Yamada are polyester derivations.

Alternatively, Yamada teaches the invention substantially as claimed however merely teaches the use of inorganic filler materials, thereby failing to teach the specific filler materials of talc, mica, kaolin or graphite. Okamoto teaches such materials for the exact uses as specified by Yamada. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the resin composition of Yamada with the fillers of Okamoto as Okamoto teaches such filler materials to be fur use in giving flame retardancy, heat resistance and superior mechanical and design properties.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Takatani et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,610,219 ("Takatani") teaches resin compounds for molding precision parts containing specific amounts of aromatic thermotropic liquid crystalline polyester and a filler material (abstract). The filler are a variety of particle sizes and shapes and are present in an amount of at least 15% by weight (6:20-61).

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/089,183

Art Unit: 1756

Hotta et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,912,320 ("Hotta") teaches an inorganic fillers for use with resins wherein the inorganic filler includes 5-40wt% of fine particles having an average diameter of 1µm or less (3:25-27). The mixing ratio should be preferably 80wt% or less (6:8-18).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer R. Sadula whose telephone number is 703.305.4835. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 703.308.2464. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9310 for regular communications and 703.872.9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0661.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700